UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,641	03/25/2004	Bryan L. Dalton	LM(F)6509 NP	7720
²⁶²⁹⁴ TAROLLI. SU	7590 07/10/200° NDHEIM, COVELL &	EXAMINER		
1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			WENDELL, ANDREW	
CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		·	07/10/2007	· PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/809,641	DALTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Andrew Wendell	2618	

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief --The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) 🔀 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDM**ENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) W will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See attached sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____. QUOCHIEN B. VUONG 6/28/07 Andrew Wendell 571-272-0557

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Application/Control Number: 10/809,641

Art Unit: 2618

Response to Arguments

"While this statement of motivation is generally a worthwhile endeavor, this statement does not specifically address The modifications of Tsutsami, by Dorenbosch et al., necessary to disclose The communication system recited in claim 1. In response to applicant's argument of the modifications of Tsutsami be that the modifications of Tsutsami be disclose as econdary reference may be bodication.	y st for ures of ly
statement does not specifically address the modifications of Tsutsami, by Dorenbosch et al., necessary to disclose Dorenbosch are not satisfied, the te	st for ures of ly
the modifications of Tsutsami, by Dorenbosch et al., necessary to disclose a secondary reference may be bodi	ures of l
Dorenbosch et al., necessary to disclose a secondary reference may be bodi	ly e
	e
the communication system recited in claim incorporated into the structure of the	
	hamed
1." primary reference; nor is it that the	Janneu
invention must be expressly sugges	ted in
any one or all of the references. Ra	ıther,
the test is what the combined teach	ings of
the references would have suggeste	∍d to
those of ordinary skill in the art. See	e In re
Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 87	1
(CCPA 1981). Both Tsutsami and	
Dorenbosch teach a wireless	
communication system, gateway de	vices,
and mobile data acquisition devices	٠.
"Again, while this statement of motivation See above response. Again, Tsutsa	ami
is generally a worthwhile endeavor, this and Fishman teach a wireless	
statement does not specifically address communication system, gateway de	vices,

Application/Control Number: 10/809,641

Art Unit: 2618

the modifications of Tsutsami, by Fishman	and mobile data acquisition devices.
et al., necessary to disclose the	
communication system recited in claim 1."	
"Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that	See above responses.
it would not have been obvious for one of	
ordinary skill in the art to modify Tsutsumi	
et al. as suggested by the Office Action."	

Page 3